

SAPC- 15704

Copy 2 of 7

14 May 1957

The Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation  
Los Angeles, California

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to CMCC Document No. 151X5.505 requesting approval of insurance coverage for technical representatives to be accepted as a direct charge to Contract No. A-101.

We have been informed that the cost of these life insurance policies was disapproved by the AFCC. Since this charge is directly related to our work it is accepted as a direct cost by the undersigned. Attached is a list of approved policies with amounts charged. In the future, the cost of policies, not to exceed a maximum coverage of \$5,000, will be accepted for overseas technical representatives as a direct charge to our contracts.

Yours very truly,

(R)

25X1A

Contracting Officer  
Contract No. A-101

ATTACHMENT

25X1A

SA/PC/DCI [ ] pf (5/14/57)

25X1A

Distribution:

Orig [ ] R-W  
✓ 2 [ ] (approvals)  
3 [ ] (corres) destroyed  
4 - Finance  
5 - Personnel  
6 [ ]  
7 - Chrono

25X1A

**SECRET**

SAPC 14820  
COPY 1 OF 1

CMCC Doc. No. 151X5.505

Copy 1 of 2

Page 1 of 1

11 April 1957

Dear George:

As you may remember, we provided some additional insurance coverage for Tech Reps in the original agreements signed by four of the contract technical representatives. Subsequently we agreed with you to reduce insurance coverage to an amount equivalent to that provided in-plant personnel but we further agreed to leave the coverage as it was in the four original agreements and that the additional costs would be accepted.

Since our accounting policy provides for the charging of all insurance coverage to overhead, we submitted the additional premium associated with this coverage in 1956 to the Air Force with last year's overhead claim. These insurance costs were disallowed as an overhead charge since the Air Force insurance man had no knowledge of the program. In view of this disallowance, we believe the costs of this program should be acceptable as direct charges to A101 since the costs were incurred by the company in good faith and in an attempt to comply with Government requirements as we understood them in the early stages of the technical representative program. The total costs of these premiums will be approximately \$850.00 of which \$341.69 were associated with 1956. Your approval is requested to direct charge all these costs to A101 including the 1956 disallowance.

Sincerely,

*Bob*

Bob

RECEIVED  
AIR FORCE IN CHARGE  
17/11/56 17/11/56 17/11/56

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 U.S.C., Section 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

25X1A

**SECRET**

SAPC	15635
COPY	10F1


8 May 1957 (3)

Dear George:

Walt advises that life insurance policies covering overseas technical representatives engaged on the project were disapproved by the AFCCO. Since no comparable costs are charged to overhead or G&A, acceptance of the cost of premiums as a direct charge to the project would be equitable, provided insurance coverage of the individuals is approved by you. Details of the coverage are submitted in the inclosure for your decision as to allowability.

Sincerely,

1 Incl

 25X1A

DOCUMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☒ \_\_\_\_\_  
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS, S, C  
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 26/11/80  
AUTH: HR 70-2  
DATE: 17/11/80 REVIEWER: 037100

CONFIDENTIAL

Encl #1

APC 15635

COPY / OE/

APPROVED LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES  
COVERING OVERSEAS TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Policy No.</u>	<u>Employee</u>	<u>Term of Insurance</u>	<u>Premium</u>
25X1A		1 Sep 1956 - 1 Mar 1957	\$ 54.21
		20 Aug 1956 - 20 Feb 1957	54.21
		20 Aug 1956 - 20 Feb 1957	56.41
		1 Sep 1956 - 1 Mar 1957	53.04
		1 Jun 1956 - 1 Dec 1956	29.14
		1 Sep 1956 - 1 Mar 1957	94.68
Total Premium			<u>\$341.69</u>

The amount of insurance coverage on policies 3528971 and 3539108 was \$5,000 each; on the other policies, \$10,000 each.

CONFIDENTIAL

18 April 1957

The following problems have been raised by the Contractor at Walt's place:

(1) Insurance costs for Tech Reps for our work has been disallowed since the AF insurance man has no knowledge of our program. The same problem has also been raised with regard to excess per diem which is approved by the Contracting Officer. We propose to formally approve both items for the overhead account and find out whether the ACO (AF) will permit them to be added. If not, both items must then be paid as a direct charge to our work. Your comments are requested.

(2) A subcontract has been entered into for work at Stanford Electronic Labs. Bob S. has indicated Walt feels the Navy might be in the best position to audit since it has a resident there. Before we approve this request we wanted to make sure you didn't have any different thoughts on the subject.

Yours very truly,

George

**Distribution:**

Orig - [redacted] AF Auditor  
 2 - [redacted] (res)  
 3 - Audit Folder  
 4 - Chrono

DECLASSIFIED  
DATE 12/11/81 BY 1711/81